

A-76 COST COMPARISON: IN-HOUSE VS. CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE

CAMIS Number: 8797 - WHOLE BASE: (All Locations and Functional Areas)

09/02/2003 8:54:10 AM (Version 1.6)

IN-HOUSE PERFORMANCE

Performance Periods			Line 1. Personnel:	Line 2. Material and Supply:	Line 3. Other Specifically Attributable:	Line 4. Overhead:	Line 5. Additional:	Line 6. Total In-House:
10/01/2004	09/30/2005	1	3,298,081	1,201,500	344,831	395,770	0	5,240,182
10/01/2005	09/30/2006	2	3,360,863	1,201,500	345,883	403,304	0	5,311,550
10/01/2006	09/30/2007	3	3,372,349	1,201,500	345,964	404,682	0	5,324,495
10/01/2007	09/30/2008	4	3,385,213	1,201,500	346,054	406,226	0	5,338,993
10/01/2008	09/30/2009	5	3,396,505	1,201,500	346,133	407,581	0	5,351,719
			16,813,011	6,007,500	1,728,865	2,017,563	0	26,566,939

This IHCE is For Official Use Only and Considered Procurement Sensitive Until the Tentative Cost Comparison Decision.

A-76 COST COMPARISON: IN-HOUSE VS. CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE - 1

A-76 COST COMPARISON: IN-HOUSE VS. CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE

CAMIS Number: 8797 - WHOLE BASE: (All Locations and Functional Areas)

09/02/2003 8:54:10 AM (Version 1.6)

CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE

Performance Periods			Line 7. Contract/ISSA Price:	Line 8. Contract Administration:	Line 9. Additional:	Line 10. One Time Conversion:	Line 11. Gain on Assets:	Line 12. Federal Income Tax:	Line 13. Total Contract or ISSA:
10/01/2004	09/30/2005	1	4,905,005	249,455	0	98,221	0	-24,525	5,228,156
10/01/2005	09/30/2006	2	4,938,819	257,937	0	0	0	-24,694	5,172,062
10/01/2006	09/30/2007	3	4,970,310	266,706	0	0	0	-24,852	5,212,164
10/01/2007	09/30/2008	4	5,002,850	276,536	0	0	0	-25,014	5,254,372
10/01/2008	09/30/2009	5	5,036,472	285,151	0	0	0	-25,182	5,296,441
			24,853,456	1,335,785	0	98,221	0	-124,267	26,163,195

This IHCE is For Official Use Only and Considered Procurement Sensitive Until the Tentative Cost Comparison Decision.

A-76 COST COMPARISON: IN-HOUSE VS. CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE - 2

A-76 COST COMPARISON: IN-HOUSE VS. CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE

CAMIS Number: 8797 - WHOLE BASE: (All Locations and Functional Areas)

09/02/2003 8:54:10 AM (Version 1.6)

DECISION

Line 14. Minimum Conversion Differential:	(Line 1 Total x .10)	1,681,301
Line 15. Adjusted Total Cost of In-House Performance:	(Line 6 Total)	26,566,939
Line 16. Adjusted Total Cost of Contract or ISSA Performance:	(Line 13 Total + Line 14 Total)	27,844,496
Line 17. Decision:	(Line 16 - Line 15)	1,277,557
Line 18. Cost Comparison Decision - Accomplish Work:	SELECTED>>>>	In-House

Line 19. In-House MEO Certified By: _____
Date: _____ Albert Fournier - Chief of Staff, Fort McCoy, WI

'I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the in-house organization reflected in this cost comparison is the most efficient and cost effective organization that is fully capable of performing the scope of work and tasks required by the Performance Work Statement. I further certify that I have obtained from the appropriate authority concurrence that the organizational structure, as proposed, can and will be fully implemented - subject to this cost comparison, and in accordance with all applicable Federal regulations.'

Line 20. In-House Cost Estimate Prepared By: _____
Date: _____ E. H. Broh, Jr. - McAdams Technologies, Inc.

Line 21. Independent Reviewer: _____
Date: _____ Charles Pittman - US Army Audit Agency

Line 21a. Independent Reviewer (At Tentative Decision Date): _____
Date: 9-2-2003 O. Thomas Stacey - US Army Audit Agency

'I certify that I have reviewed the Performance Work Statement, Management Plan, In-house cost estimates and supporting documentation available prior to bid opening and, to the best of my knowledge and ability, have determined that: (1) the ability of the in-house MEO to perform the work contained in the Performance Work Statement at the estimated costs included in this cost comparison is reasonably established and, (2) that all costs entered on the cost comparison have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Circular A-76 and its Supplement.'

Line 22. Cost Comparison Completed By: _____
Date: 9/2/03 Thomas E. Connell - McAdams Technologies, Inc.

This IHCE is For Official Use Only and Considered Procurement Sensitive Until the Tentative Cost Comparison Decision.

A-76 COST COMPARISON: IN-HOUSE VS. CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE

CAMIS Number: 8797 - WHOLE BASE: (All Locations and Functional Areas)

09/02/2003 8:54:10 AM (Version 1.6)

Line 23. Contracting Officer:

Date:

9/2/03

David Olson - Directorate of Contracting, Fort McCoy, WI

Line 24. Tentative Cost Comparison Decision Announced By:

Date:

LTC James Doty - Commander FHL, CA.

Line 25. Appeal Authority (If applicable):

Date:

Don Brown - USARC

This IHCE is For Official Use Only and Considered Procurement Sensitive Until the Tentative Cost Comparison Decision.

A-76 COST COMPARISON: IN-HOUSE VS. CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE - 4

A-76 COST COMPARISON: IN-HOUSE VS. CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE

CAMIS Number: 8797 - WHOLE BASE: (All Locations and Functional Areas)

6/6/03 4:57:23 PM (Version 1.6)

DECISION

Line 14. Minimum Conversion Differential:	(Line 1 Total x .10)	1,681,301
Line 15. Adjusted Total Cost of In-House Performance:	(Line 6 Total)	26,566,639
Line 16. Adjusted Total Cost of Contract or ISSA Performance:	(Line 13 Total + Line 14 Total)	3,115,307
Line 17. Decision:	(Line 16 - Line 15)	0
Line 18. Cost Comparison Decision - Accomplish Work:		<u>In-House</u>

Line 19. In-House MEO Certified By: Albert R. Fournier

Date: 11 JUNE 2003

Albert Fournier - Chief of Staff, Fort McCoy, WI

'I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the in-house organization reflected in this cost comparison is the most efficient and cost effective organization that is fully capable of performing the scope of work and tasks required by the Performance Work Statement. I further certify that I have obtained from the appropriate authority concurrence that the organizational structure, as proposed, can and will be fully implemented - subject to this cost comparison, and in accordance with all applicable Federal regulations.'

Line 20. In-House Cost Estimate Prepared By: E. H. Broh, Jr.

Date: 6/6/03

H. Broh, Jr. - McAdams Technologies, Inc.

Line 21. Independent Reviewer: Lillian A. Szczuka

Date: 8 Jul 03

Charles Pittman - US Army Audit Agency
LILLIAN SZCZUKA

Line 21a. Independent Reviewer (At Tentative Decision Date):

Date:

'I certify that I have reviewed the Performance Work Statement, Management Plan, In-house cost estimates and supporting documentation available prior to bid opening and, to the best of my knowledge and ability, have determined that: (1) the ability of the in-house MEO to perform the work contained in the Performance Work Statement at the estimated costs included in this cost comparison is reasonably established and, (2) that all costs entered on the cost comparison have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Circular A-76 and its Supplement.'

Line 22. Cost Comparison Completed By: Robert Bjerkos

Date:

Robert Bjerkos - Resource Management, Fort McCoy, WI

This IHCE is For Official Use Only and Considered Procurement Sensitive Until the Tentative Cost Comparison Decision.

A-76 COST COMPARISON: IN-HOUSE VS. CONTRACT OR ISSA PERFORMANCE - 3